

# **Mechanism design: Multi-parameter environments**

**Alexandros Voudouris**

University of Oxford

# General environments

- A set of  $n$  **agents**
- A finite set  $\Omega$  of **outcomes**

# General environments

- A set of  $n$  **agents**
- A finite set  $\Omega$  of **outcomes**
- Each agent  $i$  has a private non-negative value  $v_i(\omega)$  for every outcome  $\omega \in \Omega$

# General environments

- A set of  $n$  **agents**
- A finite set  $\Omega$  of **outcomes**
- Each agent  $i$  has a private non-negative value  $v_i(\omega)$  for every outcome  $\omega \in \Omega$
- The social welfare of an outcome  $\omega \in \Omega$  is  $\sum_i v_i(\omega)$

# General environments

- A set of  $n$  **agents**
- A finite set  $\Omega$  of **outcomes**
- Each agent  $i$  has a private non-negative value  $v_i(\omega)$  for every outcome  $\omega \in \Omega$
- The social welfare of an outcome  $\omega \in \Omega$  is  $\sum_i v_i(\omega)$
- **Our goals:**
  - Incentivize the agents **to truthfully report their values**
  - Choose an outcome that **maximizes the social welfare**

# Single-item auctions

- There are only  $n + 1$  outcomes, corresponding to the number of possible winners (if any)

# Single-item auctions

- There are only  $n + 1$  outcomes, corresponding to the number of possible winners (if any)
- In the standard model, the value of each agent is 0 in all  $n$  outcomes in which she loses

# Single-item auctions

- There are only  $n + 1$  outcomes, corresponding to the number of possible winners (if any)
- In the standard model, the value of each agent is 0 in all  $n$  outcomes in which she loses
- This leaves only **one unknown parameter** per agent, her value for the outcome in which she wins



# Single-item auctions

- There are only  $n + 1$  outcomes, corresponding to the number of possible winners (if any)
- In the standard model, the value of each agent is 0 in all  $n$  outcomes in which she loses
- This leaves only **one unknown parameter** per agent, her value for the outcome in which she wins
- In general, the agents might have different values for the possible winners of the item

# Combinatorial auctions

- Multiple indivisible items for sale

# Combinatorial auctions

- Multiple indivisible items for sale
- The agents might have complex preferences over the possible item combinations

# Combinatorial auctions

- Multiple indivisible items for sale
- The agents might have complex preferences over the possible item combinations
- For  $n$  agents and a set  $M$  of  $m$  items, the set of outcomes consists of all  $n$ -vectors  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  such that  $\bigcup_i X_i \subseteq M$  and  $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$

# Combinatorial auctions

- Multiple indivisible items for sale
- The agents might have complex preferences over the possible item combinations
- For  $n$  agents and a set  $M$  of  $m$  items, the set of outcomes consists of all  $n$ -vectors  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  such that  $\bigcup_i X_i \subseteq M$  and  $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$ 
  - There are  $(n + 1)^m$  different outcomes

# Combinatorial auctions

- Multiple indivisible items for sale
- The agents might have complex preferences over the possible item combinations
- For  $n$  agents and a set  $M$  of  $m$  items, the set of outcomes consists of all  $n$ -vectors  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  such that  $\bigcup_i X_i \subseteq M$  and  $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$ 
  - There are  $(n + 1)^m$  different outcomes
- Each agent  $i$  has a private value  $v_i(S)$  for every possible bundle  $S \subseteq M$  of items

# Combinatorial auctions

- Multiple indivisible items for sale
- The agents might have complex preferences over the possible item combinations
- For  $n$  agents and a set  $M$  of  $m$  items, the set of outcomes consists of all  $n$ -vectors  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  such that  $\cup_i X_i \subseteq M$  and  $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$ 
  - There are  $(n + 1)^m$  different outcomes
- Each agent  $i$  has a private value  $v_i(S)$  for every possible bundle  $S \subseteq M$  of items
  - Each agent  $i$  has  $2^m$  parameters

# VCG mechanisms

- A general solution for any environment



# VCG mechanisms

- A general solution for any environment
- The VCG (Vickrey-Clarke-Groves) mechanisms implement (truthfully) the social welfare maximizing outcome

# VCG mechanisms

- A general solution for any environment
- The VCG (Vickrey-Clarke-Groves) mechanisms implement (truthfully) the social welfare maximizing outcome
- **Allocation rule:** Maximize the social welfare according to the input

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b}) = \arg \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_i b_i(\omega)$$

# VCG mechanisms

- A general solution for any environment
- The VCG (Vickrey-Clarke-Groves) mechanisms implement (truthfully) the social welfare maximizing outcome
- **Allocation rule:** Maximize the social welfare according to the input

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b}) = \arg \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_i b_i(\omega)$$

- **Payment rule:** For a set of functions  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  such that  $h_i$  is independent of the bid of agent  $i$ ,

$$p_i(\mathbf{b}) = h_i(\mathbf{b}_{-i}) - \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b}))$$

# VCG mechanisms

## Theorem

Every VCG mechanism is truthful and maximizes the social welfare

# VCG mechanisms

## Theorem

Every VCG mechanism is truthful and maximizes the social welfare

- The utility of agent  $i$  is

$$u_i(\mathbf{b}) = v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) - p_i(\mathbf{b})$$

# VCG mechanisms

## Theorem

Every VCG mechanism is truthful and maximizes the social welfare

- The utility of agent  $i$  is

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(\mathbf{b}) &= v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) - p_i(\mathbf{b}) \\ &= v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) - \left( h_i(\mathbf{b}_{-i}) - \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) \right) \end{aligned}$$

# VCG mechanisms

## Theorem

Every VCG mechanism is truthful and maximizes the social welfare

- The utility of agent  $i$  is

$$\begin{aligned}u_i(\mathbf{b}) &= v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) - p_i(\mathbf{b}) \\ &= v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) - \left( h_i(\mathbf{b}_{-i}) - \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) \right) \\ &= v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) - h_i(\mathbf{b}_{-i})\end{aligned}$$

# VCG mechanisms

## Theorem

Every VCG mechanism is truthful and maximizes the social welfare

- The utility of agent  $i$  is

$$u_i(\mathbf{b}) = v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) - p_i(\mathbf{b})$$

$$= v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) - \left( h_i(\mathbf{b}_{-i}) - \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) \right)$$

$$= v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) - h_i(\mathbf{b}_{-i})$$

independent of  $b_i$



# VCG mechanisms

## Theorem

Every VCG mechanism is truthful and maximizes the social welfare

- The utility of agent  $i$  is

$$\begin{aligned}u_i(\mathbf{b}) &= v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) - p_i(\mathbf{b}) \\ &= v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) - \left( h_i(\mathbf{b}_{-i}) - \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) \right) \\ &= v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) + \underbrace{\sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b}))}_{\text{independent of } b_i} - h_i(\mathbf{b}_{-i})\end{aligned}$$

The social welfare according to the true value of agent  $i$  and the bids of the other agents

# VCG mechanisms

- Agent  $i$  cares about the welfare of all agents (based on the reported valuations) and aims to maximize the quantity

$$v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b}))$$

# VCG mechanisms

- Agent  $i$  cares about the welfare of all agents (based on the reported valuations) and aims to maximize the quantity

$$v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b}))$$

- Since  $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})$  is such that

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b}) \in \arg \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \left\{ b_i(\omega) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\omega) \right\}$$

the best response of agent  $i$  is to set  $b_i = v_i$

# VCG mechanisms

- Agent  $i$  cares about the welfare of all agents (based on the reported valuations) and aims to maximize the quantity

$$v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b}))$$

- Since  $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})$  is such that

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b}) \in \arg \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \left\{ b_i(\omega) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\omega) \right\}$$

the best response of agent  $i$  is to set  $b_i = v_i$

- Therefore every agent  $i$  truthfully reports her true values

# VCG mechanisms

- Agent  $i$  cares about the welfare of all agents (based on the reported valuations) and aims to maximize the quantity

$$v_i(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b}))$$

- Since  $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b})$  is such that

$$\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{b}) \in \arg \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \left\{ b_i(\omega) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(\omega) \right\}$$

the best response of agent  $i$  is to set  $b_i = v_i$

- Therefore every agent  $i$  truthfully reports her true values
- The mechanism is designed so that the incentives of the agents are aligned with the goal of maximizing the social welfare □

# Clarke payments

- There are a lot of different VCG mechanisms, depending on how we choose the  $h$ -functions

# Clarke payments

- There are a lot of different VCG mechanisms, depending on how we choose the  $h$ -functions
- We would like to have reasonable payment rules, that satisfy a couple of properties:
  - **Individual rationality:** Every agent has non-negative utility, and therefore incentive to participate
  - **No positive transfers:** The mechanism does not pay the agents, the agents pay the mechanism

# Clarke payments

- Clarke payments: define

$$h_i(\mathbf{v}_{-i}) = \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\omega)$$



# Clarke payments

- Clarke payments: define

$$h_i(\mathbf{v}_{-i}) = \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\omega)$$

and, hence

$$p_i(\mathbf{v}) = \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\omega) - \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{v}))$$

# Clarke payments

- Clarke payments: define

$$h_i(\mathbf{v}_{-i}) = \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\omega)$$

and, hence

$$p_i(\mathbf{v}) = \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\omega) - \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{v}))$$

- The payment of agent  $i$  is the difference between the maximum social welfare of the other agents when she does not participate, and the social welfare when she participates
- Agent  $i$  pays the loss in welfare due to her participation

# Clarke payments

## Theorem

A VCG mechanism with Clarke payments satisfies the properties of individual rationality and no positive transfers

# Clarke payments

## Theorem

A VCG mechanism with Clarke payments satisfies the properties of individual rationality and no positive transfers

- No positive transfers:

$$p_i(\mathbf{v}) = \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\omega) - \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{v})) \geq 0$$

# Clarke payments

## Theorem

A VCG mechanism with Clarke payments satisfies the properties of individual rationality and no positive transfers

- No positive transfers:

$$p_i(\mathbf{v}) = \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\omega) - \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{v})) \geq 0$$

- Individual rationality:

$$u_i(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_j v_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{v})) - \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\omega)$$

# Clarke payments

## Theorem

A VCG mechanism with Clarke payments satisfies the properties of individual rationality and no positive transfers

- No positive transfers:

$$p_i(\mathbf{v}) = \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\omega) - \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{v})) \geq 0$$

- Individual rationality:

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(\mathbf{v}) &= \sum_j v_j(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{v})) - \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\omega) \\ &= \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_j v_j(\omega) - \max_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\omega) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$



# Drawbacks of VCG mechanisms

- **Preference elicitation:** VCG mechanisms demand from each agent to communicate her values for every possible outcome
  - Not practical in many situations: communicating  $2^m$  parameters in the case of combinatorial auctions is impossible, even for small  $m$

# Drawbacks of VCG mechanisms

- **Preference elicitation:** VCG mechanisms demand from each agent to communicate her values for every possible outcome
  - Not practical in many situations: communicating  $2^m$  parameters in the case of combinatorial auctions is impossible, even for small  $m$
- **Social welfare maximization might be a hard problem**
- Knapsack auctions:
  - each agent  $i$  demands  $w_i$  items and has a private value  $v_i$
  - the seller has a total amount of  $W$  items
  - Even though every agent has only one private parameter, maximizing the social welfare is equivalent to the Knapsack problem, which is NP-hard